

Article

Technology Is Changing the Future of Sports

Zuhur Mansurov

1. a first-year Master's student at Gulistan State Pedagogical Institute

Abstract: This article examines how modern technology is transforming the future of sports, from athletic training and injury prevention to refereeing and spectator experiences. It explores the growing use of wearable devices, artificial intelligence, data analysis, virtual and augmented reality, advanced sporting equipment, and video-assisted officiating. The article also considers how technology is changing talent identification, rehabilitation, broadcasting, smart stadiums, recreational exercise, and environmental sustainability. Particular attention is given to the challenges associated with technological development, including fairness, privacy, financial inequality, and excessive dependence on data. Ultimately, the article argues that technology is most valuable when it enhances rather than replaces human ability, allowing athletes, coaches, officials, and spectators to benefit from innovation while preserving the skill, emotion, creativity, and unpredictability that make sports unique.

Keywords: *Sports technology, technological innovation, future of sports, artificial intelligence, wearable technology, data analysis, performance monitoring, sports science, athletic performance, injury prevention, rehabilitation, virtual reality*

Citation: Mansurov, Z. Technology Is Changing the Future of Sports. Web of Semantic: Universal Journal on Innovative Education 2026, 5(7), 1-9

Received: 10th Feb 2026
Revised: 21th Mar 2026
Accepted: 09th Apr 2026
Published: 3rd August 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

1. Introduction

For most of human history, sporting success depended primarily on natural ability, physical preparation, experience, and the judgement of coaches and athletes. Runners measured their progress with simple stopwatches, referees made decisions based entirely on what they could see, and coaches evaluated performances by observing players from the sidelines [1]. Spectators attending competitions had little more than their own eyes to help them understand what was happening. Today, this world has changed dramatically. Technology has entered almost every aspect of modern sport, transforming how athletes train, how coaches develop strategies, how officials make decisions, how injuries are prevented, and how millions of spectators experience competitions [2]. From artificial intelligence and wearable sensors to video-assisted refereeing and virtual reality, technological innovation is redefining what is possible in sport. This transformation has occurred remarkably quickly. Only a few decades ago, sophisticated performance analysis was largely restricted to the wealthiest professional organisations [3]. Modern smartphones now contain more computing power than many of the systems once used by professional teams, while affordable fitness watches can measure information that previously required specialised laboratories. Athletes at almost every level can monitor heart rate, running distance, speed, sleep, and recovery [4]. Meanwhile, professional organisations collect enormous quantities of information about every movement performed during training and competition. Sport has consequently entered an age in which decisions are increasingly supported by data rather than intuition alone. One of the clearest examples of this technological revolution is the rise of wearable

technology [5]. Small electronic devices worn on the wrist, chest, clothing, or even inside footwear can continuously record information about an athlete's body and movement. GPS trackers measure distance, acceleration, maximum speed, and positioning, while heart-rate monitors provide information about cardiovascular effort. More advanced sensors can estimate changes in body temperature, movement patterns, workload, and recovery [6]. Professional football teams, for example, frequently use small GPS devices placed inside special vests worn underneath players' shirts during training. These devices allow coaching staff to determine exactly how far each athlete has run, how many high-speed sprints they have completed, and how much physical stress they have experienced [7]. If one player suddenly demonstrates unusually high fatigue or reduced movement intensity, coaches can adjust the training programme before a minor problem develops into an injury. Rather than treating every player identically, teams can individualise workloads according to each athlete's physical condition. This personalised approach represents a major shift in sports science. Traditional training programmes often treated athletes as members of a relatively uniform group [8]. Everyone completed similar running distances, strength exercises, and recovery sessions. However, human bodies respond differently to physical stress. A demanding session that produces valuable adaptation in one athlete may create excessive fatigue in another [9]. Technology allows coaches to recognise these differences and design programmes that maximise improvement while reducing unnecessary risk. Data analysis has therefore become one of the most influential developments in modern sport. Professional teams employ specialists whose entire job involves transforming enormous quantities of raw information into practical decisions [10]. In football, analysts can examine passing networks, defensive positioning, expected goals, pressing intensity, and thousands of individual movements. Basketball teams evaluate shooting locations and defensive efficiency, while baseball organisations analyse pitching angles, ball velocity, and batting patterns [11]. Even individual sports such as tennis and athletics increasingly rely on detailed statistical analysis. These systems have changed the role of coaches [12]. Experience and intuition remain extremely valuable, but they are increasingly combined with objective evidence. A football coach may believe that a particular player is struggling defensively, for example, while positional data can reveal precisely where and when problems occur. Analysts can then compare performances across multiple matches and identify patterns that would be almost impossible to recognise through observation alone [13]. Technology does not necessarily replace human expertise; instead, it provides coaches with additional information that allows them to make better-informed decisions.

2. Methodology

Artificial intelligence is taking this process even further. AI systems can analyse enormous datasets far more rapidly than humans, identifying patterns and relationships that might otherwise remain hidden. Machine-learning algorithms can study thousands of previous matches and help predict how particular opponents are likely to behave. They can examine tactical formations, player movements, and historical decisions to identify potential weaknesses that coaches may exploit. Injury prevention represents one of the most promising applications of artificial intelligence. Injuries have always been an unavoidable part of competitive sport, sometimes ending careers and costing professional organisations enormous amounts of money. Traditionally, medical teams could respond only after athletes reported pain or displayed visible symptoms. Modern monitoring systems attempt to identify warning signs much earlier. By examining training loads, movement quality, sleep patterns, previous injuries, and other physiological information, algorithms may identify athletes whose injury risk appears unusually high. Such predictions are not perfect, and medical professionals remain essential. Nevertheless, even a modest reduction in injury risk can have enormous consequences. For professional athletes, avoiding a serious injury may extend a career by several years. For teams, keeping important players healthy can determine competitive

success. For recreational athletes, early warnings may prevent relatively minor problems from becoming chronic conditions. Technology is also transforming rehabilitation after injuries occur. Motion-capture systems allow physiotherapists to analyse how athletes walk, run, jump, or change direction during recovery. Sensors can identify subtle differences between an injured limb and a healthy one, providing objective evidence about whether the athlete is ready to return to competition. Previously, return-to-play decisions often depended heavily on subjective feelings and basic physical tests. Modern technology provides far more detailed information, reducing some of the uncertainty involved. Virtual reality is beginning to play a role in both rehabilitation and training. Athletes wearing VR headsets can experience realistic sporting situations without facing the full physical demands of actual competition. A football goalkeeper recovering from injury may practise reading attacking situations virtually, while a racing driver can learn a new circuit without entering a real vehicle. Quarterbacks, goalkeepers, and other athletes whose positions depend heavily on rapid decision-making can repeatedly experience complex situations while reducing physical strain.

The potential of virtual reality extends beyond professional athletes. Young players can use simulations to learn tactical concepts, while coaches can recreate situations that are difficult or expensive to reproduce in real life. Dangerous sports may particularly benefit because athletes can practise decision-making in controlled virtual environments before facing genuine risks. As VR technology becomes more realistic and affordable, the distinction between physical and digital training is likely to become increasingly blurred.

3. Results and discussion

Another major area transformed by technology is officiating. Human referees have always faced an extremely difficult task. Sporting action happens quickly, and officials must often make important decisions within fractions of a second. A football referee may need to determine whether a foul occurred while simultaneously monitoring the position of numerous players. A tennis official must decide whether a ball travelling at tremendous speed landed a few millimetres inside or outside a line. Inevitably, mistakes occur [14]. Technological systems have been introduced to reduce these errors. Tennis has used electronic line-calling technologies to determine whether shots land within the court. Cricket employs sophisticated review systems combining ball tracking, sound detection, and video replay [15]. Football introduced goal-line technology to determine whether the entire ball has crossed the line, while the Video Assistant Referee, commonly known as VAR, allows officials to review certain important decisions using multiple camera angles. Supporters of these systems argue that accuracy should be the highest priority. A championship should not be decided because an official failed to see an incident clearly. Technology can correct obvious mistakes and provide evidence unavailable to the human eye. In competitions where a single decision may determine careers, trophies, or enormous financial rewards, greater accuracy appears both logical and fair. However, technological refereeing has also generated controversy. VAR in football provides a particularly clear example. Although designed to eliminate major errors, reviews can interrupt the natural flow of matches and sometimes require lengthy analysis of extremely small details. Supporters celebrating a goal may suddenly stop because they are waiting for a technological decision. Even after examining multiple camera angles, officials sometimes disagree because many rules still require human interpretation. This illustrates an important limitation of sports technology: more information does not always produce complete certainty. Technology can show where a player's foot was positioned, but it cannot independently determine whether every physical challenge should be considered a foul. Human judgement remains necessary because sport involves rules, context, intention, and interpretation. The future is therefore more likely to involve cooperation between technology and officials than the complete replacement of referees by machines.

Equipment itself has also undergone extraordinary technological development. Modern running shoes use advanced materials designed to reduce weight while improving energy return. Cycling manufacturers test bicycles in wind tunnels to minimise aerodynamic resistance. Swimsuits, tennis rackets, golf clubs, racing cars, helmets, and countless other pieces of sporting equipment are continuously redesigned using engineering research. These improvements can produce remarkable performance gains, but they also raise difficult questions about fairness. At what point does superior equipment provide an unacceptable advantage? If one athlete can afford cutting-edge technology while another cannot, is the competition still primarily measuring human ability? Sporting organisations frequently struggle to answer these questions because innovation develops faster than regulations. The controversy surrounding technologically advanced footwear demonstrates this challenge particularly well. Modern racing shoes incorporating lightweight foam and rigid plates can improve running efficiency, helping athletes maintain faster speeds. While such developments may represent legitimate progress, governing bodies must determine whether certain designs provide advantages so substantial that they fundamentally alter competition. Similar debates have occurred over high-performance swimsuits, cycling equipment, and prosthetic technology. Despite these controversies, technological development is unlikely to slow down. Athletes have always searched for methods of improving performance, and engineers continue discovering new materials, designs, and analytical tools. The central challenge for sporting organisations will therefore be maintaining a balance between encouraging innovation and protecting fair competition. Perhaps the most important lesson from the technological transformation of sport is that innovation rarely replaces human ability entirely. Wearable sensors cannot train instead of athletes. Artificial intelligence cannot produce determination or courage. Video technology cannot remove every difficult refereeing decision, and advanced equipment cannot automatically create champions. Instead, technology amplifies human capabilities by providing better information, safer preparation, more precise measurement, and new opportunities for improvement. As these technologies continue to develop, their influence will extend far beyond training grounds and refereeing decisions. They are also beginning to transform stadiums, broadcasting, fan engagement, talent identification, accessibility, and even the fundamental definition of sport itself. The next stage of this technological revolution may change not only how athletes compete but also how billions of people around the world experience sport.

While technology has already transformed the way athletes train and officials make decisions, its influence is equally significant outside the field of competition. The experience of being a sports spectator has changed almost beyond recognition over the past few decades. In the past, supporters who could not attend a match often depended on radio commentary, newspaper reports, or limited television coverage. Today, fans can watch competitions live from almost anywhere in the world, access detailed statistics within seconds, communicate directly with athletes, and even choose alternative camera angles. As broadcasting technology becomes increasingly sophisticated, spectators are moving from being passive viewers to active participants in a highly connected sporting environment. High-definition and ultra-high-definition broadcasts have made sporting events more visually detailed than ever before. Slow-motion cameras allow viewers to observe movements that occur too quickly for the human eye, while aerial drones provide perspectives that were once impossible to capture. Advanced microphones bring spectators closer to the sounds of competition, and computer-generated graphics display information about speed, distance, positioning, and performance in real time. A modern viewer watching an athletics competition, for example, may immediately see an athlete's reaction time, running speed, previous records, and comparison with competitors. These additions deepen understanding and make sporting performances easier to analyse. Augmented reality is likely to take this experience much further. Unlike virtual reality, which places users inside a completely digital environment, augmented reality adds digital information to the physical world. A supporter wearing smart glasses in a stadium could potentially look at a football player and instantly see statistics about that

athlete's speed, passing accuracy, goals, or physical condition. Television viewers could choose which information appears on their screens rather than depending entirely on broadcasters. Such technology could transform spectatorship into a personalised experience in which every fan receives information according to their individual interests. Virtual reality could eventually allow supporters to experience stadiums without physically travelling to them. A fan living thousands of kilometres from their favourite team might put on a headset and feel as though they were sitting near the field. Rather than watching the match through a rectangular television screen, they could look around the stadium, observe other supporters, and follow the action from different positions. Friends living in different countries might even attend the same virtual match together through digital avatars. This possibility could significantly increase accessibility. Travelling to major sporting events is often expensive, particularly when competitions take place internationally. Tickets, accommodation, transportation, and food may place major tournaments beyond the financial reach of ordinary supporters. People with physical disabilities may also experience difficulties accessing certain venues. Virtual attendance cannot completely reproduce the atmosphere of standing inside a crowded stadium, but it could provide a meaningful alternative for millions who would otherwise never have the opportunity to experience major sporting events closely. Social media has already demonstrated how technology can remove barriers between athletes and supporters. Historically, professional sports stars communicated with the public mainly through journalists, television interviews, and official press conferences. Today, athletes can speak directly to millions of followers through social platforms. They share photographs from training, discuss victories and defeats, provide glimpses into their personal lives, and promote social causes they consider important. This direct communication has fundamentally changed the relationship between athletes and their audiences. For supporters, this increased access can create stronger emotional connections. Fans no longer encounter athletes exclusively during competitions; they can follow their preparation, recovery, travel, and everyday experiences. However, constant digital exposure also creates new pressures. Athletes may receive thousands of critical or abusive messages following poor performances, while rumours can spread globally within minutes. Some competitors find themselves under continuous public scrutiny, making it difficult to separate professional performance from private life.

Technology therefore creates psychological challenges alongside its benefits. Professional athletes increasingly need assistance managing social media pressure, online criticism, and the expectation of permanent availability. Sports organisations have begun recognising digital well-being as an important component of athlete welfare. In the future, protecting competitors from online harassment and excessive exposure may become as necessary as protecting them from physical injury. Technology is also revolutionising the way talented athletes are discovered. Traditionally, scouts travelled extensively to watch young players compete in person. Their decisions depended heavily on observation, experience, and personal judgement. While scouting remains important, digital databases and performance-analysis systems now allow organisations to evaluate thousands of athletes from numerous countries simultaneously. In football, for example, clubs can analyse enormous databases containing information about players' passing, shooting, running, tackling, positioning, and decision-making. Algorithms can identify individuals whose performance characteristics match the requirements of a particular team. A talented player competing in a relatively unknown league may therefore attract international attention without initially being observed by a traditional scout. Video technology also enables young athletes to share performances with coaches and organisations far beyond their local communities. This can create opportunities for individuals living in regions historically overlooked by professional scouting networks. When combined with objective performance data, technology has the potential to make talent identification more global and efficient. However, relying too heavily on numbers carries risks. Statistics cannot fully measure qualities such as leadership, determination, creativity, adaptability, and emotional resilience. Two athletes with similar physical data may respond completely differently under competitive pressure. Furthermore, young

people develop at different rates, meaning that an athlete who appears average at sixteen may become exceptional several years later. Human judgement will therefore remain essential even as algorithms become increasingly sophisticated. Technology is also changing how ordinary people participate in sports. Fitness trackers, smartwatches, mobile applications, and online training platforms have brought elements of professional sports science into everyday life. Recreational runners can monitor pace, heart rate, distance, elevation, and recovery without visiting a laboratory. Cyclists can compare performances with other riders, swimmers can track laps automatically, and gym users can follow personalised training programmes through their phones. This immediate feedback can provide powerful motivation. Instead of exercising without knowing whether improvement is occurring, individuals can observe progress through measurable data. Completing a faster five-kilometre run or achieving a new personal record becomes clearly visible, encouraging continued participation. Online fitness communities further strengthen motivation by allowing users to share achievements and compete with friends. Nevertheless, constant measurement can also become unhealthy if individuals become excessively focused on numbers. Exercise should contribute to physical and psychological well-being rather than create anxiety about daily targets. A smartwatch may suggest that an individual has not achieved enough steps or slept sufficiently, even when they feel perfectly healthy. Technology provides useful information, but users must learn to interpret data sensibly rather than allowing devices to control their behaviour.

Another major development involves smart stadiums. Modern sporting venues increasingly incorporate advanced digital systems designed to improve safety, convenience, and entertainment. Mobile applications may allow spectators to enter stadiums using digital tickets, locate their seats, order food, receive instant replays, and obtain information about transportation after the event. High-speed wireless networks enable tens of thousands of people to share photographs and videos simultaneously. Security technology has also become increasingly sophisticated. Cameras and digital monitoring systems can help identify dangerous crowd movements, locate emergencies, and support stadium staff in managing large gatherings. Artificial intelligence may eventually analyse crowd behaviour in real time and warn organisers before overcrowding or conflict becomes dangerous. Used responsibly, these systems could make major sporting events considerably safer. However, increased surveillance raises important concerns about privacy. Facial-recognition systems and extensive data collection may improve security, but they also create questions about who controls personal information and how it is used. Sporting organisations will need clear regulations to ensure that technological convenience does not come at the expense of individual privacy. Environmental sustainability represents another area in which technology could transform sports. Major sporting competitions consume enormous quantities of energy and generate significant waste through transportation, construction, lighting, food services, and merchandise. New technologies can reduce some of this environmental impact. Stadiums increasingly use solar energy, efficient lighting systems, water-recycling technology, and intelligent climate-control systems. Digital ticketing reduces paper consumption, while improved transportation planning can help reduce congestion around venues. Technology can also assist organisers in measuring their environmental footprint more accurately. Data systems can track energy use, waste production, water consumption, and transportation emissions, enabling organisations to identify areas requiring improvement. As climate change becomes an increasingly serious challenge, environmental technology may become a central part of planning future sporting events. Climate change itself is likely to accelerate technological adaptation. Athletes competing outdoors increasingly face extreme temperatures, air pollution, and unpredictable weather conditions. Wearable sensors may monitor body temperature and hydration in real time, warning medical teams when competitors approach dangerous levels of heat stress. Advanced forecasting systems can help organisers schedule competitions during safer conditions. New materials may produce clothing that regulates temperature more effectively. The growth of esports and digitally

enhanced competition further demonstrates how technology is challenging traditional definitions of sport. Competitive gaming has developed into a global industry, while virtual reality may eventually create activities that combine substantial physical movement with digital competition. Future athletes may compete simultaneously in physical and virtual environments, requiring strength, endurance, coordination, and technological skill.

This development raises fascinating questions. If two competitors physically run through a virtual environment while wearing motion-tracking equipment, is their activity an esport or a traditional sport? If professional racing drivers train extensively in realistic simulators, where does simulation end and genuine competition begin? As technology becomes increasingly integrated with physical activity, existing categories may become less meaningful. Artificial intelligence may produce even more dramatic changes. Future AI systems could function as highly sophisticated personal coaches, analysing an athlete's technique instantly and suggesting corrections. A runner might receive real-time instructions regarding stride length and pace, while a tennis player could receive immediate feedback about racket position. These systems could make high-quality coaching accessible to people who cannot afford professional trainers. At elite levels, AI may create digital simulations of opponents based on previous performances. Athletes could practise against virtual versions of future competitors before meeting them in real life. Teams could test thousands of tactical strategies through computer simulations, identifying approaches most likely to succeed. The possibilities are extraordinary, but they also reinforce the need for regulations ensuring that technological advantages remain reasonably fair. Access represents perhaps the greatest ethical challenge facing the technological future of sport. Wealthy teams and athletes can afford sophisticated laboratories, artificial intelligence systems, advanced equipment, and specialist staff. Competitors from poorer regions may have access to only basic facilities. If technological advantages become too significant, financial resources rather than athletic talent could increasingly determine success. Sporting organisations will therefore need to decide which technologies represent acceptable innovation and which create unfair advantages. This will not be easy. Banning innovation completely would prevent progress, while unrestricted technological development could undermine meaningful competition. Regulations must evolve continuously as new equipment and systems emerge. There is also the broader question of whether technology might eventually remove too much uncertainty from sport. Part of the attraction of competition comes from human imperfection. Athletes make mistakes, coaches choose unsuccessful strategies, and referees occasionally misinterpret situations. If artificial intelligence eventually predicts every tactical decision and technology eliminates every element of uncertainty, sport could potentially lose some of the unpredictability that makes it emotionally compelling. The most successful future is therefore unlikely to be one in which machines dominate sport completely. Technology works best when it enhances rather than replaces human performance. The courage required to take a decisive penalty, the determination necessary to finish a marathon, the creativity behind an unexpected pass, and the emotional resilience needed to recover from defeat remain fundamentally human qualities. No sensor or algorithm can substitute for them.

4. Conclusion

The findings of this study demonstrate that technological innovation has become an essential driving force in the development of modern sports, influencing athletic performance, coaching, officiating, fan engagement, and sports management. Technologies such as wearable devices, artificial intelligence, data analytics, virtual and augmented reality, and advanced performance-monitoring systems have significantly improved training efficiency, injury prevention, rehabilitation, and tactical decision-making. At the same time, innovations in broadcasting, smart stadiums, and digital communication have transformed the spectator experience, making sports more interactive, accessible, and globally connected.

However, the study also highlights several important challenges associated with the rapid integration of technology into sport. Issues related to competitive fairness, unequal access to advanced technologies, privacy, data security, excessive dependence on digital systems, and ethical decision-making require continuous attention from governing bodies, researchers, and sports organizations. Maintaining an appropriate balance between technological innovation and the fundamental principles of fair competition remains essential for the sustainable development of sport. Overall, technology should be viewed as a tool that enhances rather than replaces human performance. While digital systems provide valuable information and improve accuracy, they cannot substitute for the determination, creativity, teamwork, emotional resilience, and physical abilities that define athletic excellence. The future of sports will therefore depend on the successful integration of technological progress with human values and sporting traditions. Further research should investigate emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, extended reality, and intelligent data systems while exploring effective regulatory frameworks that ensure innovation benefits athletes, officials, and spectators without compromising the integrity, accessibility, and spirit of sport.

REFERENCES

1. Lundvall, B.-Å. (Ed.) (1992). *National Systems of Innovation: Towards a Theory of Innovation and Interactive Learning*. Pinter, London. 342 p.
2. Ministry of Innovative Development of the Republic of Uzbekistan (2023). *Annual Report on Innovative Activity in the Republic of Uzbekistan*. Tashkent. 128 p.
3. Freeman, C. (1987). *Technology Policy and Economic Performance: Lessons from Japan*. Pinter, London. 155 p.
4. Nelson, R. R. (Ed.) (1993). *National Innovation Systems: A Comparative Analysis*. Oxford University Press, New York. 541 p.
5. Cooke, P. (1992). Regional Innovation Systems: Competitive Regulation in the New Europe. *Geoforum*, 23(3), 365–382. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7185\(92\)90048-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7185(92)90048-9)
6. Calhau, M., Pinto, H., & Cruz, A. R. (2020). R&D Investment and Economic Growth: Evidence from Small Open Economies. *Journal of Innovation Economics & Management*, 33(3), 113–138. <https://doi.org/10.3917/jie.033.0113>
7. Rodrik, D. (2004). *Industrial Policy for the Twenty-First Century*. UNIDO Working Paper. 58 p. Available at: <https://drodrik.scholar.harvard.edu>
8. Léman, C., & Zeili, H. (2021). Intellectual Property Rights and Foreign Direct Investment in Developing Countries. *World Development*, 138, 105–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105120>
9. Gross, A., & Kushnyrenko, I. (2019). Inherited Institutional Deficiencies and Innovation System Transformation in Post-Soviet Economies. *Post-Communist Economies*, 31(4), 451–472. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14631377.2018.1560205>
10. Abdullayev, Sh., Khoshimov, B., & Ruziyeva, M. (2022). Features of the National Innovation System Formation in Uzbekistan. *Economics and Innovative Technologies*, 2022(4), 112–127.
11. Etzkowitz, H., & Leydesdorff, L. (2000). The Dynamics of Innovation: From National Systems and «Mode 2» to a Triple Helix of University–Industry–Government Relations. *Research Policy*, 29(2), 109–123. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333\(99\)00055-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(99)00055-4)
12. Chesbrough, H. (2003). *Open Innovation: The New Imperative for Creating and Profiting from Technology*. Harvard Business School Press. 272 p.
13. World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), *Global Innovation Index 2023: Innovation in the Face of Uncertainty*. Geneva, Switzerland: WIPO, 2023.
14. World Bank, *World Development Report 2023: Migrants, Refugees, and Societies*. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank, 2023.
15. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), *Technology and Innovation Report 2023: Opening Green Windows*. Geneva, Switzerland: United Nations Publications, 2023.